- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the accuracy of the first two sentences thereof. Defendant further admits that the quoted language appearing in lines 1 through 4 on page 5 of the Complaint, is contained in the so-called Letter of Understanding, but denies that language is the only relevant part of such letter. Except as expressly admitted herein, Defendant denies each and every other allegation contained in Paragraph 15 and objects to such allegation to the extent that it calls for a legal conclusion; to that extent Defendant is not required to either deny or admit such allegations.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 20. Answering Paragraph 20 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the first two sentences of Paragraph 20. As to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 20, Defendant admits that at the time the Complaint was filed Local 70 and WMAC were engaged in a labor dispute, and further avers that such labor dispute was the result of an offensive lockout initiated by WMAC against Local 70. Said labor dispute has since been resolved. Excepts as so expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 21. Answering Paragraph 21 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 22. Answering Paragraph 22 of the Complaint, Defendant admits the allegations contained therein.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that starting on July 3, 2007 some WMAC employees who are members of all three Local 6 bargaining units refused to cross the picket lines established by Local 70 in support of its labor dispute with WMAC. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendant denies each and every other allegations contained in Paragraph 23.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24 of the Complaint, the allegations contained therein constitute legal opinions and conclusions of law to which it is neither appropriate nor required that Defendant admit or deny such allegations.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the accuracy of the allegations therein, and on that basis said allegations are denied.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis said allegations are denied.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the accuracy of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis said allegations are denied.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the accuracy of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis said allegations are denied.
- 29. Answering Paragraph 29 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the accuracy of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis said

allegations are denied.

30.

2

1

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

3

4

[Section 301(a) of the Labor Management Relations Act, 29 U.S.C. §185(a)]

5

Answering Paragraph 30 of the Complaint, Defendant incorporates herein as though fully set forth each and every admission and denial it has propounded to Paragraph 1 through 29, above.

6

31. Answering Paragraph 31 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegations contained therein.

8 9

7

Answering Paragraph 32 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information 32. to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and on that basis the allegations are denied.

11

12

13

14

10

33. Answering Paragraph 33 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that "Local 6's members are permitted under the Agreements, under certain circumstances, to refuse to cross or work behind a lawful primary picket line". Except as so expressly admitted herein Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in Paragraph 33 of the Complaint.

15

16

17

34. Answering Paragraph 34 of the Complaint, Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 34, and on that basis said allegations are denied. In addition, said allegations appear to call for a legal conclusion or opinion to which no answer from Defendant is required.

18 19

> 35. Answering Paragraph 35 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

21

22

20

36. Answering Paragraph 36 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in the first sentence thereof. As to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 36, Defendant lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the accuracy of said allegations and on that basis each and every such allegation is denied.

23 24

> 25 26

37. Answering Paragraph 37 of the Complaint, Defendant denies each and every allegation contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. As and for its First Separate and Affirmative Defense to the allegations contained in the Complaint, Defendant avers that the Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. As and for its Second Separate and Affirmative Defense to the allegations contained in the Complaint, Defendant avers that the Plaintiff has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies prior to bringing suit herein.
- 3. As and for its Third Separate and Affirmative Defense, Defendant avers that Plaintiff has failed to exhaust its obligations to submit such disputes to the internal grievance and arbitration procedure provided under the applicable collective bargaining agreement.
- 4. As and for its Fourth Separate and Affirmative Defense, Defendant avers that Plaintiff's claim for relief is subject to preemption under the National Labor Relations Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §158 *et seq*.
- 5. As and for its Fifth Separate and Affirmative Defense, Defendant avers that Plaintiff's claim for relief is barred under the doctrine of unclean hands.
- 6. As and for its Sixth Separate and Affirmative Defense, Defendant avers that Plaintiff's claim for relief is barred under the doctrine of laches.
- 7. As and for its Seventh Separate and Affirmative Defense, Defendant avers that Plaintiff has failed to undertake reasonable measures to mitigate its damages.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for the following relief:

- 1. That Plaintiff take nothing by its Complaint herein;
- 2. That Defendant be awarded its reasonable costs or suit herein;
- 3. That Defendant be awarded its reasonable attorney fees incurred in responding to the within cause;
- 4. That Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety; and

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Case 3:07-cv	-03675-WHA	Document 12	Filed 08/28/2007	Page 7 of 7
1	5. That the Court grant Defendant such other and further relief as it may deem				
2	just and proper.				
3					
4	Dated:	August 28, 20	007	LAW OFFICES	OF NEVIN & ABSALOM
5					
6					/S/
7				By:	
8	KENNETH C. ABSALOM Attorney for Defendant				
9				1 20002220	101 2 424.44.11
10	JURY DEMAND				
11					
12	Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Defendant hereby demands				
13	a trial by jury of all issues which may be subject to a jury trial.				
14	Dated: Aug	gust 28, 2007		LAW OFFICES	OF NEVIN & ABSALOM
15					
16				By:	/s/ Kenneth C. Absalom
17				A	Attorneys for Defendant
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES Case #C 07 3675 EMC				